WELFARE REFORM RECONCILIATION/24-Month Consecutive Limit

SUBJECT: Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1996...S. 1956. Ashcroft substitute amendment No. 4942 to the Ashcroft amendment No. 4941, as amended.

ACTION: AMENDMENT REJECTED, 37-62

SYNOPSIS: As reported, S. 1956, the Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Act of 1996, will enact major welfare reforms. The Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC) program will be replaced with a new Temporary Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) block grant to the States. The TANF block grant will be capped through 2001. Time limits will be placed on individuals receiving TANF benefits. Overall, the growth in non-Medicaid welfare spending will be slowed to 4.3 percent annually. The bill originally included major Medicaid reforms, but most of those provisions were stricken when the bill was reported. Without those Medicaid reforms, welfare spending will still be reduced by \$61.4 billion over 6 years.

The Ashcroft amendment, as amended, would permit a State to impose sanctions on a family that received TANF benefits if an adult in that family failed to ensure that his or her minor dependent child attended school as required by the law of the State in which that child resided. Additionally, the amendment would permit a State to impose sanctions on a family that received TANF benefits if an adult in that family did not have a high school diploma or its equivalent and was not working to attain one, unless such adult in the judgment of an appropriate professional was deemed not to have the requisite capacity to obtain a high school diploma or its equivalent.

The Ashcroft substitute amendment to the Ashcroft amendment would prohibit a State from giving TANF benefits to a family for more than 24 consecutive months if that family included an adult who was not working and who was an adult during those 24 months. A State would be permitted to exempt up to 20 percent of its TANF caseload from this requirement.

NOTE: Following the vote, the underlying amendment, as amended, was adopted by voice vote.

Those favoring the amendment contended:

(See other side)

YEAS (37)			NAYS (62)			NOT VOTING (1)	
Republicans Democrats		Republicans			Republicans Democrats		
(36 or 69%) (1 or 2%)		(16 or 31%)			(1)	(0)	
Abraham Ashcroft Bond Brown Burns Coats Cochran Coverdell Craig D'Amato Faircloth Frahm Gramm Grams Grassley Hatch	Helms Hutchison Inhofe Kempthorne Kyl Lott Lugar McCain McConnell Murkowski Nickles Pressler Roth Santorum Shelby Smith Thompson Thurmond	Hollings	Bennett Campbell Chafee Cohen DeWine Domenici Gorton Gregg Jeffords Mack Simpson Snowe Specter Stevens Thomas Warner	Akaka Baucus Biden Bingaman Boxer Bradley Breaux Bryan Bumpers Byrd Conrad Daschle Dodd Dorgan Exon Feingold Feinstein Ford Glenn Graham Harkin Heflin Inouye	Johnston Kennedy Kerrey Kerry Kohl Lautenberg Leahy Levin Lieberman Mikulski Moseley-Braun Moynihan Murray Nunn Pell Pryor Reid Robb Rockefeller Sarbanes Simon Wellstone Wyden	EXPLANAT 1—Official I 2—Necessar 3—Illness 4—Other SYMBOLS: AY—Annou AN—Annou PY—Paired PN—Paired	nced Yea nced Nay Yea

VOTE NO. 225 JULY 23, 1996

We need to change welfare from a condition in which people live to a transition from which people go; a transition from dependency to independence. Under this bill we will allow people to spend 5 straight years on the welfare rolls without working. That period of time is too long. If a muscle is not used for 5 weeks it gets weak. If it is not used for 5 months it atrophies. If it is not used for 5 years it disappears and is forever useless. Allowing individuals to avoid work for 5 years will do tremendous damage to their self-esteem, skills, and motivation. The Ashcroft amendment would leave the lifetime limit of 5 years in place, but it would impose a 24-month consecutive limit as well. Someone could be on welfare for 2 years and then get a job, and a few years later could lose that job and again go on welfare for another 2 years. The proposition advanced by the Ashcroft amendment is simple and meritorious: able-bodied individuals should not be allowed to be on welfare for 5 years without working. We urge the adoption of this amendment.

Those opposing the amendment contended:

The Ashcroft amendment would aim at the parent and would hit the child. The underlying bill will already require parents to work within 2 years of receiving cash benefits, but it will also block States from sanctioning families with children under the age of 11 if they can prove the unavailability of child care. This amendment will not. Under the Ashcroft amendment, adults who try to find work but who are unable to will be thrown off welfare at the end of 2 years. Perhaps if the amendment required the State to provide a job at the end of 2 years and to provide day care, we would be able to support this amendment, but it does not, so we urge its rejection.